

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

AARON ISAAC RAMOS,

Defendant and Appellant.

D075248

(Super. Ct. No. JCF001649)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Imperial County, William D. Quan, Judge. Affirmed.

Pauline E. Villanueva, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Aaron Isaac Ramos entered into a plea agreement under the terms of which he pleaded guilty to one count of possession of metal knuckles (Pen. Code,¹ § 21810) and

¹ All further statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise specified.

admitted a strike prior (§ 667, subds. (b)-(i)). The parties agreed Ramos would receive a two-year midterm sentence for his conviction and that it would be doubled to four years because of the strike prior. The remaining charges and allegations would be dismissed. The court sentenced Ramos in accordance with the plea agreement. The court also assessed several fines and fees; however, the court stayed the assessments pursuant to *People v. Duenas* (2019) 30 Cal.App.5th 1157. Ramos filed a timely notice of appeal.

Appellate counsel has filed a brief pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*), indicating she has not identified any arguable issues for reversal on appeal. Counsel asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *Wende*. We offered Ramos the opportunity to submit his own brief on appeal, but he has not responded.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

This appeal is from a guilty plea with no preliminary examination. The facts of the offense are in the probation officer's report. Ramos was stopped by sheriff's deputies outside a 7-Eleven in Brawley. A search of his person revealed metal knuckles and a bindle of methamphetamine.

DISCUSSION

As we have noted, appellate counsel has not identified any arguable issues for reversal on appeal. Counsel has asked this court to conduct a review of the record for error as mandated by *Wende* and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 (*Anders*). We have reviewed the entire record and have not discovered any arguable issue for reversal on appeal. Counsel has not complied with *Anders* and has not identified any potential

issues for our consideration. However, the record in this case is small and straightforward. Thus, we are confident we have fully reviewed the record and that counsel's failure to address *Anders* has not prejudiced Ramos in any way. While we would have preferred assistance by counsel under *Anders*, we believe Ramos was represented by competent counsel.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

HUFFMAN, Acting P. J.

WE CONCUR:

O'ROURKE, J.

DATO, J.